

## Generating high EM fields using mode-stirred reverberation chambers for RTCA DO 160 applications

www.siepel.com

## Jean-François ROSNARHO

Microwave & RF, March 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2016.





## RTCA DO 160 - Requirements

## RTCA DO160 G (2010)

EMC tests on airborne equipment

#### Section 20 : EMS tests

→ Conducted

Siepel

- → 10 kHz 400 MHz
- $\rightarrow$  Radiated
  - → 100 MHz 18 GHz





RTCA DO160 G (2010)

Siepel

www.siepel.com

Conducted Susceptibility



 $\rightarrow$  Requires a shielded enclosure and test plane

→ Current injected on bundle cables by Injection Probes (10 kHz – 400 MHz)

## RTCA DO 160 - Requirements

Siepel

Conducted Susceptibility Test Setup













# Statistical uniformity

Maximum field level (X, Y, Z, Total) on one rotation (fixed frequency)





Siepel

www.siepel.com



The field is statistically <u>homogeneous</u> and <u>isotropic</u> within the working volume averaged over one complete rotation, from LUF

# How does it work?

Calibration of the Reverb Chb provides 2 main characteristics

#### Satistical uniformity

Siepel

www.siepel.com

Deviation on the maximum field of each field component ( $E_x$ ,  $E_y$ ,  $E_z$ ) and total field ( $E_T$ ), on each of the 9 probe locations must be below the allowed standard deviation limit of DO 160

Determines Lowest frequency of Use Of the MSRC

#### Normalized E Field

Field which is obtained for 1 W power delivered on the input connector of the Tx antenna

Determines the power level to be provided to reach the target E-fields







- **Free Space environment**
- Test distance = 1 m

Siepel

- Propagated waves, absorbed by the walls
- Deterministic description of the fields
- Anisotropic tests



- **4** Reverberating environment
- 4 No specific test distance
- Reflections on the walls
- **Random** description of the fields
- Isotropic tests



Siepel



- DO 160 G section 20 : both can be used for Radiated and Conducted Susceptibility
- DO 160 G section 21 : both can be used for Radiated and Conducted Emissions
- What are the criterion of choice ?

#### Anechoic chamber (AC)

Conducted tests possible on a test bench (shielded room)

Radiated tests possible with RF absorbers on the walls

Compliance of the chamber :

- $\rightarrow$  Reflectivity level of the absorbers  $\leq$  -6 dB (100 MHz<f < 250 MHz)
- → Reflectivity level of the absorbers  $\leq$  -10 dB (f > 250 MHz)
- $\rightarrow$  660 mm high absorbers typically

Radiated tests done on a plane  $\rightarrow$  The EUT must be rotated

Field strength related to test distance (1m)

 $\rightarrow$  One single AC can be used for all CS & RS tests

www.siepel.com

Siepel

#### **Reverb chamber (MSRC)**

Siepel

www.siepel.com

Conducted tests possible on a test bench (shielded room)

Radiated tests without absorbers on the walls

Compliance of the chamber :

- $\rightarrow$  Lowest Frequency of Use (LUF)
- ightarrow Normalized Field high enough to provide target field

Radiated tests done on a volume  $\rightarrow$  The EUT needs not being rotated

Field strength related to Normalized Field (dependent on MSRC size)
→ Multiple MSRC can be used for all CS & RS tests (optimization)

Siepel Michael Faraday's Heritage

Power levels needed to reach target field

www.siepel.com	Target Field (V/m)	Anechoic Chamber @ 1m	Reverb Chamber @ 3dB load
	200 (CW @ 100 MHz)	3 kW	≤ 150 W
	300 (CW @ 8 GHz)	1.5 kW	≤ 20 W
	490 (CW @ 2 GHz)	4 kW	≤ 40 W
	7,200 (PM @ 4 GHz)	900 kW	≤ 5 kW
	Technical difficulties & Budget increase		







## **Technical solutions**

3 main frequency ranges

#### 100 to 400 MHz

CW field levels allow to use an Anechoic Chamber or a Reverb Chamber with available instrumentation

#### 400 to 1,000 MHz

CW field levels allow to use an Anechoic Chamber or a Reverb Chamber with available instrumentation

Cat L PM field levels make the use of an Anechoic Chamber costly

#### <u>1 to 18 GHz</u>

CW field levels allow to use an Anechoic Chamber or a Reverb Chamber with available instrumentation

Cat L PM field levels make the use of an Anechoic Chamber unrealistic

## 

## **Technical solutions**

#### It is possible to use several combinations for RS testing :

- 1 Anechoic Chb (100 MHz to 400 MHz) + 1 Reverb. Chb (400 MHz 18 GHz)
- $\rightarrow$  Realistic for an existing laboratory with AC
- Possible with affordable instrumentation if cat. G & L not required
- 1 Anechoic Chb (100 MHz to 400 MHz) + 2 Reverb Chb ([400 MHz ; 1 GHz] & [1 ; 18 GHz])
- $\rightarrow$  Realistic for a laboratory with existing AC
- $\rightarrow$  Allows to consider cat. L testing at optimized budget



## **Technical solutions**

It is possible to use several combinations for RS testing :

1 Reverb.Chamber (100 MHz – 18 GHz)

 $\rightarrow$  Possible but difficult for PM tests > 1 GHz (cat. F/G/L)

3 Reverb. Chambre ([100 ; 400 MHz] & [400 MHz ; 1 GHz] & [1 ; 18 GHz])
→ Optimized solution for a new laboratory
> Optimal newscapplifier budget

 $\rightarrow$  Optimal power amplifier budget



## Microwave & RF 2016

# Siepel EOLE series

## Siepel Unique - high conductivity panels



- Comparison between 2 identical MSRC
- 4 20% more field with aluminum

www.siepel.com

Input power reduced by 2 dB

Frequency range of operation : 100 MHz to 18 (40) GHz

**4** Specifications (typ.)

Siepel

- $\rightarrow$  Dimensions 7.5 x 5 x 4 m
- $\rightarrow$  Test volume 3 x 2.3 x 1.7 m
- → Minimum field strength @ 400 MHz & 1W : 30 V/m



**Frequency range of operation : 400 MHz to 18 (40) GHz** 

Specifications (typ.)

Siepel

- → Dimensions 3.45 x 2.52 x 2.94 m
- → Test volume 2.66 x 1.25 x 1.36 m
- Minimum field strength @ 1 GHz & 1W : 85 V/m





Frequency range of operation : 1 GHz to 18 (40) GHz

Specifications (typ.)

Siepel

- → Dimensions 1.02 x 0.86 x 1.28 m
- $\rightarrow$  Test volume 0.72 x 0.56 x 0.4 m
- → Minimum field strength @ 1 GHz & 1W : 170 V/m





## Microwave & RF 2016

## Conclusion



## DO160 - Advantages of MSRC

### **HIGH FIELDS WITH LOW INPUT POWER**

## **TRADE OFF DIMENSIONS / LUF / POWER**

## **+** TOTAL GUIDANCE IN PROJECT DEFINITION





## Questions ?

Contact for information :

jf.rosnarho@siepel.com

<u>or</u>

contact@siepel.com