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History

2000
- European Commissioner Liikanen: 'Towards an European market for defence procurement'
- Key constraint: plethora of national standards
- CEN was the European Institute for standards, and a working group BT/WG125, for defence existed
- A 'workshop' structure appeared to be the best platform: under CEN, no national representatives but stakeholders can participate directly
- Thus 'Workshop 10; Standardisation for Defence Procurement'
  - Chairman: Jean-Michel Bardot (Vice-President EADS, Quality)
  - Secretariat: Marie-Joëlle Antoine (AFNOR)

2002
- Business plan

2003
- Handbook: collection of all standards used within the EU
2004

- 8 expert groups were created on subjects which were considered as the most important
  - NBC detectors
  - Energetic materials
  - Fuels and lubricants
  - Batteries
  - Packaging
  - Electrical and mechanical interfaces
  - Electromagnetic environmental effects (28 members: largest group)
  - Environmental testing

Tasks 2004

- Selection of relevant standards
- Comparing the standards

Task 2005

- Developing recommendations on the use of standards
Economical reason for doing this:
- Improve competitiveness European defence industry
  - No national players anymore!
- Government/customer no longer pays for testing
- More efficiency needed
  - more standards = personnel needs to learn more standards
  - more standards = more paperwork
- More and more commercial items are used
  - tested according to civil standards

Political
- Improve European strength

European Defence Agency established in 2004: increased momentum
EG7: Electromagnetic environmental effects

- 28 members (started with 14)
  - Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, and NATO
  - 11 MoDs + 1 NATO
  - 16 (professional) Industry (THALES, Intellect(BAe), MBDA, SAAB, Ericsson, Diehl, Vaisala, Esju, Vectronix AG, Carlo Gavazzi Space, Galileo Avionica)

- Meetings:
  - 2004: 22/1 (inaugural), 17/3, 7/4, 25/5, 6/7, 30/9+1/10, 17/11
  - 2005: 2+3 march, 27 april

- Future: platform, supported by European Defence Agency, industry+MoD's ???
Plethora of (Military) EEE Standards

Using different standards is a cultural aspect rather than a technical discrimination
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Task 1 (2004): Selection of relevant standards

- Relevant E³/EMC standards in initial handbook: 230 standards
- Adding missing standards: 420 standards (SW, PO, etc. included)
  (But >1000 standards not in the handbook could be added....)

- Phenomenae covered (requirement, test, guidelines):
  - EMI, Radiation hazards (personnel, ordnance, fuel), Lightning, Nuclear and lightning EMP, DC magnetic field, power quality
  - Less: Power supply issues, Spectrum control, HIRF, TEMPEST
  - No HPM, UWB, I-EMI

- Overlap with other EGs, in some standards
  - EMC of electric explosive devices (EG2 energetic materials)
  - HERF (RadHaz) (EG3: Fuels and Lubricants)
  - Power supply, cables (EG6 Electrical interfaces)
  - All mechanical and climatix effects in EG8 (Environmental Testing)

but not considered as constraint
Task 2 (2004): Comparing the standards

- Constraints and solutions:
  - Standards not available:
    - 'self-extinguishing CD-ROM' with nearly all standards distributed
  - Too many standards, therefore:
    - Requirements and testing are considered as most important
    - Standards enabling 'free trade' are important
    - Platform level (system), guidelines, management, classified standards (TEMPEST) etc. marked, but not discussed in detail
  - IEC as reference (?)
    - IEC not structured and too limited (now), therefore STANAG as reference, future IEC (i.e. a migration to basic IEC standards as the test standard could be possible, on very long term)
  - Maturity STANAGs not sufficient (yet) and progress was slow
    - Push NATO via participating MoDs
  - Acceptance level of STANAGs was low; only a few STANAGS have been used
    - Push Industry
  - STANAGs have been developed by MoD's, nearly without industry involvement
    - Mentioned to CEN, WS, EU (EDA)
Good EMC behavior insensitive to standard used

EMC requirements are set by the environment it is intended for.
EMC tests are set by the phenomena (and size of equipment).

Environments
- Ground Based
- Airborne
- At Sea
- Industry

Phenomena
- Conducted emission
- Radiated emission
- Induced interference due to lightning (L-EMP)
- Induced interference due to EMP (N-EMP)
- Induced transients due to switching
- Mutual interference
- ETCETERA

EMC requirements are set by the environment it is intended for.
EMC tests are set by the phenomena (and size of equipment).
Task 2 (2004): Comparing the standards
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The U.S. Government has mandated greater use of performance-oriented acquisition process. As a result, the military and civilian requirements and to the area of electromagnetic environmental standards Committee (DIESC) was established to be addressed and established to and harmonization of existing E\textsuperscript{3} standards. The comparison is well supported by the target date of January, 1998, has been compared to the required products.
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Task 2 (2004): Comparing the standards

- bandwidth
- polarization
- distance

etcetera
Task 2 (2004): Comparing the standards

- **Approach:**
  - STANAG (NATO) standards are the reference
  - Action: Find for every (national) req&test standard a NATO (STANAG) equivalent (6 STANAGs)

- **Selection with two columns:**
  - **Guidance:**
    - Use (EN, IEC, RTCA DO 160, STANAG etc)
    - Guide (use it as a book on your bookshelf, not in contracts)
    - Obsolete
    - Can be replaced by ….
      - …., such as
        - Wait (to be solved this year)
        - System (to be discussed)
  - **Comments field**
Task 3 (2005): Recommendations on use of standards

- Database with two columns
  - Guidance
  - Comments
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To be merged with other EG reports
Rationale (see also DIESC and many other comparison documents)

Recommendations for users

- Use STANAGs
- Use IEC, RTCA etc.

Recommendations for standardisation process

- MoU CEN-NATO is now without obligations
- European Defence Agency should take the lead, based on the security initiatives taken now, to create and maintain
  - Forum, combining industry and MoDs
  - Push towards improvement and use of STANAGs (now!)
  - Push towards improvement IEC (will reduce costs)
  - Push towards replacement of national standards by STANAG/IEC
  - Take into account new technologies and risks (UWB, spectrum management, Intentional EMI etc.)
Many political and technical actions in parallel needed
Now momentum: keep it on track

Possible actions:

- Ratification of STANAG 4370 AECTP500
- Improve MoU, involve CENELEC, link with IEC
- Use STANAG 4370 in procurement (MoD) and specifications (industry)
- Improvement of STANAG 4370 AECTP500
- Combine IEC and STANAG for equivalent tests
- European Defence (~security) Standards?